Appendix C – Comparison of State and Federal Systems

In addition to the state accountability system, which is mandated by the Texas legislature, there is also a federal system of public school accountability. Although the state system has been in place since 1993, the accountability provisions in the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act were first applied to the Texas public schools in 2003. Campuses, districts and the state were evaluated for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for the first time in 2003.

The purpose of this appendix is to provide details comparing the state accountability system to the federal (AYP) system. Though there are some similarities and elements in common between the two, there are significant differences. For complete details about the federal system, see the *AYP Guide*. The *Guide* as well as other information about AYP can be found at the AYP website at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/ayp/index.html.

COMPARISON

The following tables provide comparisons of the state and federal systems. *Table 28* contains a side-by-side comparison of the indicators, restrictions, requirements, and source data for both systems.

Table 29 is a comparison by grade level. With this table, a campus can compare the use of various indicators by grade. For example, a grade 3-5 campus is evaluated in both the state and federal systems on TAKS reading and mathematics, although AYP evaluates more student groups for each of these indicators. In a grade 3-5 campus, its AYP status also depends on attendance and participation indicators, while its state rating includes TAKS writing and science results.

166 Appendix C – Comparison of State and Federal Systems

Table 28: 2008 Comparison of State and Federal Accountability (AYP) by Indicator

	State Accountability (Standard Procedures)	AYP							
TAKS									
Subjects & Standards	Reading/ELA* Exemplary 90% / Recognized 75% / Acceptable 70% Mathematics* Exemplary 90% / Recognized 75% / Acceptable 50% Writing Exemplary 90% / Recognized 75% / Acceptable 65% Social Studies Exemplary 90% / Recognized 75% / Acceptable 65% Science Exemplary 90% / Recognized 75% / Acceptable 45% All values rounded to the nearest whole number. *Includes cumulative pass rate for grades 3, 5 and 8 reading and grades 5 and 8 mathematics.	Reading/ELA*							
Grades	3–11 (English); 3–6 (Spanish)	3–8, and 10 (English); 3–6 (Spanish)							
Student Groups	All Students African American Hispanic White Economically Disadvantaged	All Students African American Hispanic White Economically Disadvantaged Special Education Limited English Proficient (LEP)							
Minimum Size	All Students	All StudentsAny (Special Analysis if small) Student Groups50/10%/200							
Improvement	To Acceptable: Has enough gain to meet Acceptable standard in 2 years. To Recognized: At 70% – 74% and has gain to meet 75% standard in 2 years.	10% decrease in percent <i>not passing</i> AND must meet standard on other measure or show at least 0.1% improvement on other measure.							
Pairing	Paired with feeder campus (or district). Paired with feeder campus (or district). Paired with feeder campus (or district).								
TAKS (Accommoda	TAKS (Accommodated)								
Subjects & Grades	TAKS (Accommodated) for the following subjects & grades is combined with TAKS: ELA	TAKS (Accommodated) (grades 3-8 and 10 only) is combined with TAKS and other assessments by subject for performance and participation. See TAKS section (above) for standards, subjects, and groups.							

Appendix C - Comparison of State and Federal Systems 167

Table 28: 2008 Comparison of State and Federal Accountability (AYP) by Indicator (continued)

	State Accountability (Standard Procedures)	AYP						
Other Assessments								
TELPAS Reading TAKS LAT		Combined with TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) results by subject for students not tested on TAKS or TAKS (Accommodated) for Performance and Participation. Note: There is a cap on the number of students who can be counted as proficient on TAKS-Alt and TAKS-M.						
TAKS-Modified LAT TAKS-Alternate	N/A: Assessment not included for determining ratings.							
Additional Assessment Features								
Mobility Adjustment	District and campus accountability subsets used.	District and campus accountability subsets used.						
Exceptions to the Standard	Up to 4 TAKS exceptions allowed to move to <i>Acceptable</i> or <i>Recognized</i> . One exception allowed to move to <i>Exemplary</i> . Number of assessment measures evaluated, minimum performance floors, and prior use determine eligibility.	N/A						
Cap on Alternate Assessments	N/A	Cap on the number of students counted as proficient on TAKS-Alt and TAKS-M.						
Attendance Rate								
Standard		Meets AYP						
Student Groups	N/A: Used only for Gold Performance Acknowledgment	All Students only						
Minimum Size	N/A. Used only for Gold Performance Acknowledgment	All Students 7,200 (40 students x 180 days) Student Groups* 50/10%/200 * Student groups used only for performance gain.						
Improvement		At least 0.1% improvement.						
Completion Rate (gra	ades 9 12)							
Standards	Grads+Continuers Exemplary 95.0%/Recognized 85.0%/Acceptable 75.0% All values rounded to nearest one-tenth of a percent.	Graduate component only						
Student Groups	All Students, African American, Hispanic, White, Economically Disadvantaged	All Students only						
Minimum Size	All Students	All StudentsAt least 40 in denominator Student Groups*50/10%/200 * Student groups used only for safe harbor.						
Improvement	To Acceptable: Has gain to meet 75.0% standard in 2 years To Recognized: 75.0% - 84.9% and has gain to meet 85.0% standard in 2 yrs Minimum Size (All Students and groups): At least 10 in prior year	At least 0.1% improvement						
High School w/o completion rate	N/A: Indicator not evaluated.	N/A: Indicator not evaluated.						

168 Appendix C – Comparison of State and Federal Systems

Table 28: 2008 Comparison of State and Federal Accountability (AYP) by Indicator (continued)

	State Accountability (Standard Procedures)	АҮР			
Annual Dropout Rate	(grades 7 8)				
Standards	Grades 7-8Exemplary, Recognized, & Acceptable				
Student Groups	All Students, African American, Hispanic, White, Economically Disadvantaged	N/A: Indicator not evaluated.			
Minimum Size	All StudentsAt least 5 dropouts and 10 in denominator Student GroupsAt least 5 dropouts and 30/10%/50 in denominator				
Improvement	 To Acceptable, Recognized or Exemplary: Has declined to meet the 2.0% standard in 2 years. Minimum Size (All Students and groups): At least 10 in prior year. 				
Middle School w/o dropout rate	N/A: Indicator not evaluated.				
Participation Rate: Re	ading/ELA & Mathematics				
Standard		Tested at campus/district			
Student Groups	N/A: Indicator not evaluated. Monitoring interventions may occur with excessive exemptions.	All Students African American Hispanic White Economically Disadvantaged Special Education Limited English Proficient (LEP)			
Minimum Size		All StudentsAt least 40 in denominator Student Groups50/10%/200			
Other Campus and Di	strict Situations				
Registered Alternative Education Campuses	Rated under Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures.	Evaluated under same criteria as regular campuses.			
Charter Operators	Evaluated under same criteria as regular districts.* Evaluated under same criteria a *Charter Operators may be rated under AEA Procedures. districts.				
Charter Schools	Evaluated under same criteria as regular campuses. (Charter schools are not paired.)	Evaluated under same criteria as regular campuses.			
New Campuses	All campuses (established or new) are rated.	New campuses are not evaluated.			
Additional District Requirements	 Must have no <i>Unacceptable</i> campuses to be <i>Exemplary</i> or <i>Recognized</i>. Must meet Underreported Student standards to be <i>Exemplary</i> or <i>Recognized</i>. 	No additional district requirements.			

 $endix\ C-Comparison\ of\ State\ and\ Federal\ Systems\ \ 169$

Table 29: 2008 Grade Level Comparison of State (Standard Procedures) and Federal Accountability

		†Reading		Writing Social	Science	**HS	Annual	Attendance	Participation		
		ELA	Watii	wiiding	Studies	Science	Completion	Dropout		Read/ELA	Math
#	All Students								AYP		
Grade '	AA/H/W/ED*										
	Special Ed & LEP										
5 *	All Students								AYP		
Grade	AA/H/W/ED*										
ອັ	Special Ed & LEP										
က	All Students	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State						AYP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
Grade	AA/H/W/ED*	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State							AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
ē	Special Ed & LEP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]							AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
4	All Students	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State	State					AYP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
Grade 4	AA/H/W/ED*	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State	State						AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
ອັ	Special Ed & LEP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]							AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
2	All Students	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State			State [‡]			AYP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
Grade	AA/H/W/ED*	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State			State [‡]				AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
ອົ	Special Ed & LEP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]							AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
9	All Students	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State						AYP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
Grade	AA/H/W/ED*	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State							AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
ອົ	Special Ed & LEP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]							AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
7	All Students	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State	State				State	AYP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
Grade 7	AA/H/W/ED*	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State	State				State		AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
25	Special Ed & LEP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]	5 10.15						AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
-	All Students	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State		State [‡]	State [‡]		State	AYP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
Grade	AA/H/W/ED*	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State		State [‡]	State [‡]		State	7111	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
Gra	Special Ed & LEP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]		Claro	Ciaio		01010		AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
6	All Students	State	State				AYP/State			7 (11	, , , , ,
Grade	AA/H/W/ED*	State	State				State				
Gr	Special Ed & LEP	0.0.0					0.0.0				
	All Students	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State		State [‡]	State [‡]	AYP/State			AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
ge ,	AA/H/W/ED*	AYP [‡] /State	AYP [‡] /State		State [‡]	State [‡]	State			AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
Grade 10	Special Ed & LEP	AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]		State	State				AYP [‡]	AYP [‡]
	All Students	State [‡]	State [‡]		State [‡]	State [‡]	AYP/State				
Grade 11	AA/H/W/ED*	State [‡]	State [‡]		State [‡]	State [‡]	State				
Gra	Special Ed & LEP	Olulo	Oldio		Oldio	Olulo	Oldio				
	All Students						AYP/State				
Grade 12¥	AA/H/W/ED*						State				
jrac	Special Ed & LEP						Oldio				
0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	l	l l		l .				l .	<u> </u>	

^{*} AA/H/W/ED refers to the student groups African American, Hispanic, White, and Economically Disadvantaged.

^{**} High School Completion is defined differently for AYP: Under AYP, the *Graduate* component of the Completion Rate is used, which includes only diploma recipients.

[¥] Schools are paired when they do not have grades tested. The use of paired data differs between the two systems.

[†] Performance on TAKS reading/ELA and math include slightly different groups of students for AYP: Minimum size for student groups in AYP is 50/10%/200; for state accountability it is 30/10%/50. Also AYP includes TAKS-M and TAKS-Alt results for reading/ELA and math while state accountability does not.

[‡] Includes TAKS (Accommodated).